

February 1993

University of Tokyo preprint UT 630

Topological Strings, Flat Coordinates and Gravitational Descendants

TOHRU EGUCHI

*Department of Physics, Faculty of Science,
University of Tokyo, Tokyo 113, Japan*

HIROAKI KANNO[†]

DAMTP, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB3 9EW, England

YASUHIKO YAMADA

*National Laboratory for High Energy Physics
(KEK), Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305, Japan*

and

SUNG-KIL YANG

Institute of Physics, University of Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305, Japan

ABSTRACT

[†] Fellow of the Nishina Memorial Foundation

We discuss physical spectra and correlation functions of topological minimal models coupled to topological gravity. We first study the BRST formalism of these theories and show that their BRST operator $Q = Q_s + Q_v$ can be brought to Q_s by a certain homotopy operator U , $UQU^{-1} = Q_s$ (Q_s and Q_v are the $N = 2$ and diffeomorphism BRST operators, respectively). The reparametrization (anti)-ghost b mixes with the supercharge operator G under this transformation. Existence of this transformation enables us to use matter fields to represent cohomology classes of the operator Q . We explicitly construct gravitational descendants and show that they generate the higher-order KdV flows. We also evaluate genus-zero correlation functions and rederive basic recursion relations of two-dimensional topological gravity.

In this article we will discuss physical spectra and correlation functions of topological minimal models coupled to topological gravity. We first study the BRST formalism of these theories [1,2] and show that their total BRST operator $Q = Q_s + Q_v$ (Q_s and Q_v are the $N = 2$ and diffeomorphism BRST operator, respectively) can be brought to Q_s by a certain similarity transformation U , $U(Q_s + Q_v)U^{-1} = Q_s$. This shows that Q_s and $Q = Q_s + Q_v$ have the same spectra and the chiral primary fields of the matter sector remain physical observables after coupling to gravity. In the "matter picture" defined by a rotation by the operator U , the reparametrization (anti-)ghost field b is replaced by $UbU^{-1} = b + G$ where G is the supercharge operator. Thus the equivariance condition of the closed string theory $b_0^- |\text{phys}\rangle = 0$ now reads $(b_0^- + G_0^-)|\text{phys}\rangle = 0$. Therefore some of the BRST exact states in the matter theory $Q_s|\Lambda\rangle$ no longer decouple when $(b_0^- + G_0^-)|\Lambda\rangle \neq 0$ and will describe gravitational descendant states.

Inspired by the recent work of Losev [3] (for related works, see [4], [5]) we explicitly construct gravitational descendants using the Landau-Ginzburg description and show that they precisely generate the higher-order flows of KdV hierarchy. We also explicitly evaluate genus zero correlation functions and rederive the basic recursion relations of two-dimensional topological gravity.

Let us first recall the BRST machinery for the topological gravity theory developed in refs.[1,2]. One first introduces the topological $N = 2$ algebra generated by the stress tensor T_i , supercharge operators G_i^* , G_i and the $U(1)$ current J_i for each matter, Liouville and ghost sector ($i = m, L, gh$, respectively). The integral of G_i^* is regarded as the BRST operator and one has

$$T_i(w) = [\oint dz G_i^*(z), G_i(w)], \quad i = m, L, gh. \quad (1)$$

The central charge of each sector vanishes separately. Then the total stress tensor

T , BRST operator Q_s and the supercharge operator are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} T &= T_m + T_L + T_{gh} , \\ Q_s &= \oint G_m^* + G_L^* + G_{gh}^* , \\ G &= G_m + G_L + G_{gh} . \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

T , G^* , G for the ghost fields are given by

$$\begin{aligned} T_{gh} &= -2b \cdot \partial c - \partial b \cdot c - 2\beta \cdot \partial \gamma - \partial \beta \cdot \gamma \\ G_{gh}^* &= b\gamma \\ G_{gh} &= -c \cdot \partial \beta - 2\partial c \cdot \beta . \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

In the formulation of ref.[1] the Liouville sector is described in terms of free fields ϕ , π , ψ , χ ,

$$\begin{aligned} T_L &= \partial \pi \partial \phi - \partial^2 \pi + \partial \chi \partial \psi \\ G_L^* &= \partial \pi \cdot \psi + \partial \psi \\ G_L &= -\partial \chi \partial \phi + \partial^2 \chi . \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

The BRST operator Q_v for the diffeomorphism invariance is defined by

$$Q_v = \oint c(z) \tilde{T}(z) dz - \oint \gamma(z) \tilde{G}(z) dz. \tag{5}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{T} &= T_m + T_L + \frac{1}{2} T_{gh}, \\ \tilde{G} &= G_m + G_L + \frac{1}{2} G_{gh}. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

It is easy to show that

$$Q_s^2 = Q_v^2 = \{Q_s, Q_v\} = 0 \tag{7}$$

and hence

$$Q = Q_s + Q_v \tag{8}$$

is nilpotent $Q^2 = 0$. The above construction of the BRST operators follows naturally from a constrained $N = 2$ supergravity theory on the Riemann surface [6].

Let us now introduce the following operator

$$U = \exp[-\oint c(z)\tilde{G}(z)dz] . \quad (9)$$

After some simple algebra one finds

$$\begin{aligned} [\oint c(z)\tilde{G}(z)dz, Q_s] &= Q_v \\ [\oint c(z)\tilde{G}(z)dz, Q_v] &= 0 . \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Hence

$$U(Q_s + Q_v)U^{-1} = Q_s . \quad (11)$$

We also note

$$\begin{aligned} UbU^{-1} &= b + G \\ UGU^{-1} &= G, \quad UcU^{-1} = c \\ U\beta U^{-1} &= \beta, \quad U\gamma U^{-1} = \gamma - c\partial c \\ U\gamma_0 U^{-1} &= \gamma_0 \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where γ_0 is the basic BRST invariant of the Liouville-ghost sector

$$\gamma_0 = \frac{1}{2}(\{Q_s, \partial c + c\partial\phi\} - c.c.) . \quad (13)$$

The stress-tensor itself is invariant under transformation

$$UTU^{-1} = T . \quad (14)$$

Let us call the states obtained after the rotation by U as those of the "matter picture"

$$|\text{states}\rangle_m = U|\text{states}\rangle, \quad (15)$$

where $|\text{states}\rangle$ are in the original picture. The physical state condition in the matter

picture is given by

$$Q_s|\text{state}\rangle_m = 0, \quad (16)$$

and

$$(b_0 + G_0)^-|\text{state}\rangle_m = 0. \quad (17)$$

(17) corresponds to the standard semi-relative cohomology condition $(b_0 - \bar{b}_0)|\text{state}\rangle \equiv b_0^-|\text{state}\rangle = 0$. (16) shows that the $N = 2$ chiral primary fields in the matter sector $Q_s|\text{chiral}\rangle_m = 0$ remain physical after coupling to gravity $Q(U^{-1}|\text{chiral}\rangle_m) = 0$, provided $(b_0 + G_0)^-|\text{chiral}\rangle_m = 0$. U^{-1} generates certain shifts in the operators which appear in the chiral field. Furthermore some of the BRST-exact states $|\text{state}\rangle_m = Q_s|\Lambda\rangle_m$ become non-trivial after coupling to gravity if $(b_0 + G_0)^-|\Lambda\rangle_m \neq 0$. These are the gravitational descendant states. Transformation of the BRST operator and shifts in the fields are reminiscent of a similar construction which appeared in the study of the two-dimensional black hole [7], [8].

In order to describe the matter sector of the theory we use the formalism of the topological Landau-Ginzburg (LG) theory [9] which seems particularly suited to the discussion of gravitational descendant fields. Let us consider the A-type minimal model at level k . The (perturbed) superpotential is given by [10]

$$W(x, t_0, \dots, t_k) = \frac{1}{k+2}x^{k+2} + \sum_{i=0}^k g_i(t)x^i. \quad (18)$$

Perturbation parameters $t_0, \dots, t_k$ are chosen to be the flat coordinates [11,12] of the space of deformations of the superpotential. They are coupled to the primary

fields as

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_i(x, t) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t_i} W(x, t) \\
&= \det \begin{pmatrix} x & -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ t_k & x & -1 & \dots & 0 \\ t_{k-1} & t_k & x & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ t_{k-i+2} & t_{k-i+3} & \dots & t_k & x \end{pmatrix} \\
&= x^i + \text{lower order terms} .
\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

The explicit form of the superpotential is obtained by integrating $\phi_{k+1} = \partial_x W(x)$. $g_i(t)$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, k$) does not depend on t_0 while $g_0(t)$ has a form $g_0 = t_0 + \tilde{g}_0(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k)$. The metric on the deformation space given by

$$\eta_{ij} = \langle \phi_i \phi_j \rangle = \oint dx \frac{\phi_i(x, t) \phi_j(x, t)}{\partial_x W(x, t)} = \delta_{i+j, k} \tag{20}$$

is independent of the parameters t_ℓ . The fusion coefficient $c_{ij\ell}$ is defined as usual by

$$c_{ij\ell} = \langle \phi_i \phi_j \phi_\ell \rangle . \tag{21}$$

Let us next introduce the $(k+2)$ -th root of the superpotential [10]

$$W = \frac{1}{k+2} L^{k+2} . \tag{22}$$

Then the primary field (19) is also expressed as

$$\phi_i = [L^i \partial_x L]_+ , \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, k, \tag{23}$$

where $[L^i \partial_x L]_+$ means taking the non-negative powers of the Laurent series $L^i \partial_x L$.

One can check that (23) reproduces (20)

$$\oint dx \frac{[L^i \partial_x L]_+ [L^j \partial_x L]_+}{\partial_x W} = \oint dx L^{i+j-k-1} \partial_x L = \delta_{i+j,k} \quad (24)$$

where the residue is taken at ∞ . We note an important relation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_j} \phi_i &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t_j} [L^i \partial_x L]_+ = \partial_x [L^i \frac{\partial}{\partial t_j} L]_+ \\ &= \partial_x [L^{i-k-1} \phi_j]_+ = \partial_x [\frac{L^i \partial_x L}{\partial_x W} \phi_j]_+ \\ &= [\frac{\phi_i \phi_j}{W'}]_+'. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Here the prime means the derivative with respect to x . Following Losev [3] we introduce the notation

$$[\frac{\phi_i \phi_j}{W'}]_+' \equiv c(\phi_i, \phi_j). \quad (26)$$

The operation $[\ /W']_+'$ originally appeared in the work of K. Saito in his study of singularity theory [13]. When the system is coupled to gravity (20) is replaced by

$$\eta_{ij} = \langle \phi_i \phi_j P \rangle \quad (27)$$

with P being the puncture operator (we consider correlation functions on the sphere). The genus-zero three-point function is evaluated easily in the Landau-Ginzburg theory as

$$\langle \phi_i \phi_j \phi_\ell \rangle = \oint dx \frac{\phi_i(x, t) \phi_j(x, t) \phi_\ell(x, t)}{W'(x, t)}. \quad (28)$$

Let us now generalize the range of i in (23) and define the n -th gravitational descendant of the primary field ϕ_i by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_n(\phi_i) &\equiv N_{n,i} [L^{(k+2)n+i} \partial_x L]_+, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, k, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots \\ N_{n,i} &= ((i+1)(i+1+k+2) \cdots (i+1+(n-1)(k+2)))^{-1}, \quad (N_{0,i} = 1). \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

It turns out that these are the fields coupled to the higher-order flows of the KdV

hierarchy. We note an identity

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_n(\phi_i) &= N_{n,i}[L^{i+1+(n-1)(k+2)}\partial_x W]_+ \\ &= N_{n,i}[L^{i+1+(n-1)(k+2)}]_+ W' + N_{n,i}[[L^{i+1+(n-1)(k+2)}]_- W']_+ .\end{aligned}\tag{30}$$

The first term in (30) is equal to

$$W'(x) \int^x \sigma_{n-1}(\phi_i) \tag{31}$$

while the second term has a degree less than $k+1$ in x and hence is expanded into a sum of primary fields $N_{n,i}[[L^{i+1+(n-1)(k+2)}]_- W']_+ = \sum_{\ell=0}^k c_\ell \phi_\ell$. Coefficients c_ℓ are determined by evaluating $\langle \sigma_n(\phi_i) P \phi_j \rangle = \sum c_\ell \langle \phi_\ell \phi_j P \rangle = \sum c_\ell \eta_{\ell,j}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}c_{k-j} &= N_{n,i} \oint dx \frac{[L^{i+n(k+2)}\partial_x L]_+ \phi_j}{W'} \\ &= N_{n,i} \oint dx L^{i+1+(n-1)(k+2)} \phi_j \\ &= N_{n,i} \oint dx L^{i+n(k+2)} \partial_j L \\ &= N_{n+1,i} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_j} \text{res}[L^{i+1+n(k+2)}] .\end{aligned}\tag{32}$$

$N_{n+1,i} \text{res}[L^{i+1+n(k+2)}]$ is the Gelfand-Dikii potential $R_{n,i}$ of the KdV hierarchy [14]. Hence we obtain a basic formula for the gravitational descendants

$$\sigma_n(\phi_i) = W'(x) \int^x \sigma_{n-1}(\phi_i) + \sum_{\ell=0}^k \frac{\partial}{\partial t_\ell} R_{n,i} \phi_{k-\ell} . \tag{33}$$

(33) obeys Saito's recursion relation [13]

$$\left[\frac{\sigma_n(\phi_i)}{W'} \right]'_+ = \sigma_{n-1}(\phi_i) . \tag{34}$$

We first note that our formula for gravitational descendants (33) contains

primary-field components and differs from Losev's expression

$$\sigma_n^L(\phi_i) = W'(x) \int^x dx_n W'(x_n) \int^{x_n} dx_{n-1} W'(x_{n-1}) \cdots \int^{x_2} dx_1 \phi_i(x_1) . \quad (35)$$

Being proportional to W' (35) decouples from the all three-point functions and hence can not be a scaling field coupled to the higher-order KdV flow.

By integrating over t_ℓ an expectation value of (33), $\langle \sigma_n(\phi_i) P \phi_\ell \rangle = \frac{\partial}{\partial t_\ell} R_{n,i}$, we also find

$$\langle \sigma_n(\phi_i) P \rangle = R_{n,i} . \quad (36)$$

(36) gives the standard identification of the Gelfand-Dikii potentials in terms of the two-point function of scaling operators [15][16][17].

Let us now recall the BRST transformation laws of the topological LG theory [9]

$$\begin{aligned} \delta x &= 0, & \delta x^* &= \psi^+ \\ \delta \psi^- &= 2 \frac{dW}{dx}, & \delta \psi^+ &= 0 \\ \delta \chi_z &= -\partial x, & \delta \chi_{\bar{z}} &= -\bar{\partial} x \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

(37) leaves the Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &= \partial x \bar{\partial} x^* + \chi_z \bar{\partial} \psi_L + \chi_{\bar{z}} \partial \psi_R \\ &+ \left| \frac{\partial W}{\partial x} \right|^2 + \psi_R \psi_L \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial x^{*2}} + \chi_z \chi_{\bar{z}} \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial x^2} \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

invariant ($\psi^\pm = \psi_L \pm \psi_R$) up to a total derivative. Thus the piece proportional to W' in (33) is BRST-exact

$$W'(x) \int^x \sigma_{n-1}(\phi_i)(y) dy = \frac{1}{2} Q(\psi^- \int^x \sigma_{n-1}(\phi_i)(y) dy) \quad (39)$$

and would decouple in a pure matter theory. In the presence of gravity, however, one has to examine the equivariance condition. Using the representation $G =$

$\chi_z \partial x^*$, one finds

$$(b_0 + G_0)^- \left(\psi^- \int^x \sigma_{n-1}(\phi_i) \right) = -2\sigma_{n-1}(\phi_i) \neq 0. \quad (40)$$

Thus the BRST-exact term is non-trivial after coupling to gravity and the descendant states become independent physical observables. The importance of the equivariance condition has been emphasized by Dijkgraaf [5].

We note that in the critical limit with $t_i = 0$ ($i = 0, \dots, k$), $\sigma_1(P) = W(x)'x$ and thus

$$\sigma_1(P) + \gamma_0 = \frac{1}{2} Q_s (\psi^- x + (\partial c + c \partial \phi - \bar{\partial} c - c \bar{\partial} \phi)). \quad (41)$$

We find

$$(b_0 + G_0)^- (\psi^- x + (\partial c + c \partial \phi - \bar{\partial} c - c \bar{\partial} \phi)) = -2 + 2 = 0. \quad (42)$$

Therefore $\sigma_1(P) = -\gamma_0$ in the equivariant cohomology.

We now turn to the correlation functions and will present some sample calculations. First of all by repeating the derivation of (25) we find that the formula is valid also for the gravitational descendants

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_j} \sigma_n(\phi_i) = \left[\frac{\sigma_n(\phi_i) \phi_j}{W'} \right]'_+ . \quad (43)$$

By putting $j = 0$ in (43) we obtain an alternate form of the recursion relation (34)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_0} \sigma_n(\phi_i) = \sigma_{n-1}(\phi_i). \quad (44)$$

(44) directly leads to the puncture equation [17] for correlation functions. For

instance, in the case of a 3-point function we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t_0} \langle \sigma_{n_1}(\phi_i) \sigma_{n_2}(\phi_j) \sigma_{n_3}(\phi_\ell) \rangle &= \langle P \sigma_{n_1}(\phi_i) \sigma_{n_2}(\phi_j) \sigma_{n_3}(\phi_\ell) \rangle \\
&= \frac{\partial}{\partial t_0} \oint dx \frac{\sigma_{n_1}(\phi_i(x)) \sigma_{n_2}(\phi_j(x)) \sigma_{n_3}(\phi_\ell(x))}{W'(x)} \\
&= \langle \sigma_{n_1-1}(\phi_i) \sigma_{n_2}(\phi_j) \sigma_{n_3}(\phi_\ell) \rangle + \langle \sigma_{n_1}(\phi_i) \sigma_{n_2-1}(\phi_j) \sigma_{n_3}(\phi_\ell) \rangle \\
&\quad + \langle \sigma_{n_1}(\phi_i) \sigma_{n_2}(\phi_j) \sigma_{n_3-1}(\phi_\ell) \rangle .
\end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

By combining the puncture equation with (36) we find a formula for the 1-point function on the sphere

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \sigma_n(\phi_i) \rangle &= R_{n+1,i} \\
&= N_{n+2,i} \text{res}(L^{(n+1)(k+2)+i+1}) .
\end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

This is the generalization of a known result [10]

$$\langle \phi_i \rangle = \frac{1}{(i+1)(i+k+3)} \text{res}(L^{k+i+3}) . \tag{47}$$

By using our representation (33) of the gravitational descendants and (46) we also find

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \sigma_n(\phi_i) \phi_j \phi_m \rangle &= \sum_{\ell=0}^k \frac{\partial}{\partial t_\ell} R_{n,i} \langle \phi_{k-\ell} \phi_j \phi_m \rangle \\
&= \sum_{\ell=0}^k \frac{\partial}{\partial t_\ell} \langle \sigma_{n-1}(\phi_i) \rangle \langle \phi_{k-\ell} \phi_j \phi_m \rangle \\
&= \sum_{\ell=0}^k \langle \sigma_{n-1}(\phi_i) \phi_\ell \rangle \langle \phi^\ell \phi_j \phi_m \rangle .
\end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

This is the topological recursion relation of Witten [18].

By differentiating a 3-point function with respect to the parameters of the small phase space $t_m (m = 0, 1, \dots, k)$ we obtain a 4-point function with an insertion of

a 2-form operator $\phi_m^{(2)}$. Using (25) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \phi_\ell \phi_j \sigma_n(\phi_i) \int_{\Sigma} \phi_m^{(2)} \rangle &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t_m} \langle \phi_\ell \phi_j \sigma_n(\phi_i) \rangle = \frac{\partial}{\partial t_m} \oint dx \frac{\phi_\ell \phi_j \sigma_n(\phi_i)}{W'} \\
&= - \oint dx \frac{\phi_\ell \phi_j \sigma_n(\phi_i) \phi'_m}{W'^2} \\
&+ \oint dx \frac{1}{W'} \{ c(\phi_m, \phi_\ell) \phi_j \sigma_n(\phi_i) + c(\phi_m, \phi_j) \phi_\ell \sigma_n(\phi_i) + c(\phi_m, \sigma_n(\phi_i)) \phi_\ell \phi_j \} .
\end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

In the extreme right-hand-side of (49) the 2nd terms are interpreted as the contact terms [3] which arise when the position of the 2-form operator $\phi_m^{(2)}$ collides with those of the other fields. A contact term in fact describes the change of the scaling fields $\phi_i = \partial_i W$ (wave-function renormalization) due to the variation of the superpotential under perturbation. We have explicitly checked the validity of (49) by evaluating the contour integrals.

It is known [10] that a 4-point function has a common value irrespective of which 3 of the 4 operators are chosen to be the 0-form (and the remaining one to be a 2-form). Thus (49) must be equal to, for instance, $\langle \phi_\ell \phi_j \phi_m \int_{\Sigma} \sigma_n^{(2)}(\phi_i) \rangle$. We can check that the right-hand-side of (49) is in fact symmetric in $\phi_\ell, \phi_j, \phi_m$ and $\sigma_n(\phi_i)$ [3]. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \phi_\ell \phi_j \phi_m \int_{\Sigma} \sigma_n^{(2)}(\phi_i) \rangle &= - \oint dx \frac{\phi_\ell \phi_j \phi_m \sigma_n(\phi_i)'}{W'^2} \\
&+ \oint dx \frac{1}{W'} \{ c(\sigma_n(\phi_i), \phi_\ell) \phi_j \phi_m + c(\sigma_n(\phi_i), \phi_j) \phi_\ell \phi_m \\
&+ c(\sigma_n(\phi_i), \phi_m) \phi_\ell \phi_j \} .
\end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

(50) formally has the same structure as (49).

In this paper we confined ourselves to the small-phase space of two-dimensional gravity. It will be interesting to see if the Landau-Ginzburg formulation could handle the large-phase space with non-vanishing coupling constants to the gravitational descendants.

A field theoretic derivation of the structure of the contact term (26) may be given along the lines of [1,19] and will be discussed elsewhere.

The research of T.E. and S.K.Y. is partly supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Priority Area "Infinite Analysis".

REFERENCES

1. E. Verlinde and H. Verlinde, *Nucl. Phys.* **B348** (1991) 457.
2. K. Li, *Nucl. Phys.* **B354** (1991) 711.
3. A. Losev, "Descendants Constructed from Matter Field in Topological Landau-Ginzburg Theories Coupled to Topological Gravity", ITEP preprint Nov. 1992.
4. M. Bershadsky, W. Lerche, D. Nemeshansky and N. Warner, "Extended $N = 2$ Superconformal Structure of Gravity Coupled to Matter", preprint CERN-TH.6694/92, Oct. (1992).
5. R. Dijkgraaf, a talk at Newton Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Dec. 1992.
6. J. Distler and P. Nelson, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **66** (1991) 1955.
7. T. Eguchi, H. Kanno and S.-K. Yang, *Phys. Lett.* **B298** (1993) 73.
8. H. Ishikawa and M. Kato, "Equivalence of BRST Cohomologies for 2-D Black Hole and $c = 1$ Liouville Theory", preprint UT-Komaba/92-11, Nov. 1992.
9. C. Vafa, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A6** (1991) 337.
10. R. Dijkgraaf, E. Verlinde and H. Verlinde, *Nucl. Phys.* **B352** (1991) 59.
11. K. Saito, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto University **19** (1983) 1231.
12. B. Bloch and A. Varchenko, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A7** (1992) 1467.

13. K. Saito, "On the Periods of Primitive Integrals", Harvard Lecture Notes, 1980.
14. I.M. Gelfand and L. Dikii, Russian Math. Surveys **30**(5) (1975) 77.
15. T. Banks, M. Douglas, N. Seiberg and S. Shenker, *Phys. Lett.* **B238** (1990) 279.
16. D. Gross and A. Migdal, *Nucl. Phys.* **B340** (1990) 333.
17. R. Dijkgraaf and E. Witten, *Nucl. Phys.* **B342** (1990) 486.
18. E. Witten, *Nucl. Phys.* **B340** (1999) 281.
19. J. Distler and P. Nelson, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **138** (1991) 273, *Nucl. Phys.* **B366** (1991) 255.